Synthesis of Cyclobutane-fused Tetracyanoquinodimethanes

Shunro Yamaguchi,^a Hitoshi Tatemitsu,^a Yoshiteru Sakata,*a Toshiaki Enoki,^b and Soichi Misumi*a ^aThe Institute of Scientific and Industrial Research, Osaka University, Mihogaoka, Ibaraki 567, Japan ^bInstitute for Molecular Science, Okazaki 444, Japan

The preparation of the title π -acceptors (1)—(3) from the corresponding cyclohexane-1,4-diones and the electrical conductivities of their charge transfer complexes with tetrathiafulvalene are described.

Since the discovery of the metallic conductivity of tetrathiafulvalene (TTF)-tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ), much effort has been made to prepare related organic charge transfer (CT) complexes. A wide variety of π -donors have been explored, but rather fewer π -acceptors are known.

We now report the synthesis of the cyclobutane-fused TCNQ compounds, (1)—(3). They were designed in order that the condensed cyclobutane ring would influence to some extent the interplanar distances in segregated columns of TCNQ complexes without significantly changing the electronic state of the parent TCNQ molecule and, consequently, would not bring about an alteration in the electrical properties of these complexes.

Although the synthetic method of Wheland and Martin¹ was unfruitful, we successfully synthesised the desired compounds (1)—(3) using a procedure similar to the first synthesis of TCNQ by Acker and Hertler.² The diketone (4),³ obtained by a four-step sequence from benzoquinone, was treated with malononitrile and a catalytic amount of β -alanine at about 60 °C for 2 h to give (5) in 60% yield. Bromination of (5) with N-bromosuccinimide 'at 0 °C in CH₂Cl₂-MeCN and subsequent dehydrobromination with pyridine at 0 °C gave (1)† in 69% yield [(1): orange plates from CCl₄-CHCl₃, m.p. 170—172 °C; ¹H n.m.r. δ (CDCl₃) 3.37 (s, 4H) and 7.30 (s, 2H);

Table 1. Cyclic voltammetry data showing two reversible one-electron reductions (a) and (b).^a

Compound	$E_{1/2}(a)/V$	$E_{1/2}(b)/V$
TČNQ	0.08	-0.48
(1)	0.07	-0.48
Me₂ŤĆNQ ^b	0.05	-0.42
(2)	0.04	-0.49
(MeO) ₂ TCNQ°	-0.08	-0.55
(3)	-0.10	-0.47

^aVolts vs. standard calomel electrode at a glassy carbon electrode; 0.1 M Et₄NClO₄–MeCN, scan rate 100 mV s⁻¹. ^bMe₂TCNQ = 2,5-dimethyltetracyanoquinodimethane. ^c (MeO)₂TCNQ = 2,5-dimethoxytetracyanoquinodimethane.

 $λ_{max}$ (MeCN) 392 (log ε 4.63) nm; $ν_{max}$ (KBr) 2210 cm⁻¹]. Similarly, (2) and (3) were synthesized from (6)⁴ and (7),⁵ respectively [(2): red needles from CCl₄–CHCl₃, decomp. >218 °C; ¹H n.m.r. δ (CDCl₃) 3.26 (s); $λ_{max}$ (MeCN) 410 (log ε 4.80) nm; $ν_{max}$ (KBr) 2200 cm⁻¹. (3): orange prisms from benzene, m.p. 184—186 °C; ¹H n.m.r. δ (CDCl₃) 3.59 (s, 4H), 7.68 and 8.82 (AA'BB', 4H); $λ_{max}$ (MeCN) 272 (log ε 3.84), 412 (4.48), 522 (3.61), and 558 (3.32) nm; $ν_{max}$ (KBr) 2205 cm⁻¹].

Cyclic voltammetry of (1)—(3) (Table 1) shows two reversible one-electron reductions. The potentials of (1) are very close to those of TCNQ, suggesting that the ethano-group has little influence upon the electronic nature of TCNQ, as we predicted.

[†] All new compounds gave satisfactory elemental analyses (C,H, and N) and mass spectra.

Table 2. Properties of the TTF complexes of (1) and (3).

	Conductivity ^a	
Complex	$/\Omega^{-1}$ cm $^{-1}$	$v_{C=N}/cm^{-1}$
(1)-TTF ^b (3)-TTF ^c	0.30	2190
(3)-TTF°	2.7×10^{-6}	2205

^aMeasured at room temperature on compressed pellets for (1)-TTF and on a single crystal for (3)-TTF. ^bFine black needles. ^cDark reddish brown needles.

Compounds (1) and (3) were treated with TTF to give CT complexes of 1:1 stoicheiometry. However, (2) did not form a TTF complex, probably owing to steric reasons. The conductivity (Table 2) of (1)—TTF is comparable to that of TTF-TCNQ measured under the same conditions. On the

other hand, the complex of (3) shows an energy gap, probably based on the electronic state of (3) in origin.

We thank the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan for financial support.

Received, 21st June 1982; Com. 714

References

- 1 R. C. Wheland and E. L. Martin, J. Org. Chem., 1975, 40, 3101.
- 2 D. S. Acker and W. R. Hertler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1962, 84, 3370.
- 3 D. O. Chapman, W. J. Musliner, and J. W. Gates, J. Chem. Soc. C, 1969, 124; M. Oda and Y. Kanao, Chem. Lett., 1981, 37
- 4 M. Oda and Y. Kanao, unpublished data.
- 5 P. J. Garratt and R. Zahler, Tetrahedron Lett., 1979, 73.